UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,074	04/26/2007	Per Mellegard	10400-000253/US	6467
	7590 06/30/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.I	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 8910	·	UPTON, CHRISTOPHER		
RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/593,074	MELLEGARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher Upton	1797				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	TON.  De timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	—· is action is non-final.					
·	, — , — , — , — , — , — , — , — , — , —					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7,9,12,13,15,17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>4,8,10,11,14,16 and 18</u> is/are object						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
·—	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/15/2006</u> . 6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/593,074 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, 12, 13, 15, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Frommann.

Frommann discloses a step screen having a sealing arrangement at its lower end, comprising a cover having a portion which is flexible and obviously appears to pivot by being moved by the action of the moveable rods, as claimed. See figure 3 and the discussion in paragraph 28.

Art Unit: 1797

3. Claims 4, 10, 11 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The recitation of a step screen with a pivotal bottom seal guided by the moveable rods, of the structure recited in claim 1, and wherein the seal is connected to the bottom step by a hinge or is biased with a spring patentably distinguishes over the prior art of record. While hinge and spring pivots in general are known, there would be no obvious motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute such a pivoting means for the seal of the Frommann reference, as it explicitly discloses only the use of a flexible, elastomeric seal. In European patent 484 724, while superficially similar to the instant claims, the spring appears to be used only to retain the seal plate in place against the bottom of the channel and the bottom part of the fixed rods. The seal plate does not pivot during movement of the screen, rather, the accumulated solids may cause it to be pushed back, and the rod 14 is used to lift it to permit the channel to be cleaned.

4. Claims 8, 16 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The recitation of a step screen with a pivotal bottom seal guided by the moveable rods, of the structure recited in claim 1, and wherein the upstream edges of the fixed rods are curved with a radius slightly greater than the pivoting radius of the cover patentably distinguishes over the prior art of record. Frommann discloses the use of a

Application/Control Number: 10/593,074 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

relatively small seal supported by doublings extending from the blades spaced away from the radius of the fixed blades, the pivoting radius of which is not related to the radius of the blades.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other references of interest include Downes, Wallander, Mellegard, and Grabbe.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Upton whose telephone number is 571-272-1169. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, off every other Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,074 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher Upton/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Christopher Upton Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797